IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL
Writ Petition No. 65 of 2003 (M/B)

Jagjeet Singh S/o Late Mitrasen
R/o C – 37, Pandav Nagar,
Meerut …. Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal through
   Secretary Public Works Department,
   Uttaranchal.
2. Commissioner Garhwal Mandal,
   Pauri Garhwal, Uttaranchal.
3. Executive Engineer, Rashitriya Marg,
   Lok Nirman Vighag,
   Roorkee.
   District Haridwar. …. Respondents

……

Sri Vinod Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Sri N.C. Gupta, Standing Counsel for the respondents.

Coram: Hon'ble Rajeev Gupta, C.J.
Hon'ble Prafulla C. Pant, J.

RAJEEV GUPTA, C.J. (Oral)

Sri Vinod Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Sri N.C. Gupta, Standing Counsel for the respondents.

2. Sri Vinod Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the writ petition has now become infructuous.

3. The writ petition, therefore, is dismissed as infructuous.

(Prafulla C. Pant, J.) 01.05.2006

(Rajeev Gupta, C.J.) 01.05.2006

A